



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/055,201	04/03/1998	WILLIAM BROWN	933.P1/MXP/R	3603
7:	590 06/18/2002			
PATENT COUNSEL			EXAMINER	
LEGAL AFFAIRS DEPARTMENT APPLIED MATERIALS INC			ZERVIGON, RUDY	
P O BOX 450A SANTA CLARA, CA 95052			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	•		1763	2
			DATE MAILED: 06/18/2002	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

91-

Office Action Summary

Application No. 09/055,201

Applicant(s)

Brown, W., Herchen, H., Welch, M.D.

Examiner

First Last

Art Unit 1234

	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears	on the cover sheet with the correspondence address		
	for Reply			
THE	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.			
af - If the	ter SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communic period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days	FR 1.136 (a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed eation. The property is a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will		
- If NO	mmunication.	period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this		
- Any ı		statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). a mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any		
Status				
1) 🗶	Responsive to communication(s) filed on Mar 20, 2	2002		
2a) 💢	This action is FINAL . 2b) This act	tion is non-final.		
3) 🗆	Since this application is in condition for allowance closed in accordance with the practice under $Ex\ partial$	except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is orte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.		
Disposi	tion of Claims			
4) 💢	Claim(s) 1-11, 14, 15, 24, 26-73, and 75-78	is/are pending in the application.		
4	a) Of the above, claim(s)	is/are withdrawn from consideration.		
5) 💢	Claim(s) 10, 11, 14, 15, 24, 26-30, 33-36, 40-73,	and 75-78 is/are allowed.		
6) 💢	Claim(s) 1-6, 8, 9, 31, and 32	is/are rejected.		
7) 💢	Claim(s) 7 and 37-39	is/are objected to.		
8) 🗆	Claims	are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.		
Applica	tion Papers			
	The specification is objected to by the Examiner.			
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are	objected to by the Examiner.		
11)	The proposed drawing correction filed on			
12)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exam			
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign p	riority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d).		
	All b)□ Some* c)□ None of:			
	1. \square Certified copies of the priority documents hav	re been received.		
;	2. \square Certified copies of the priority documents have	re been received in Application No		
	 Copies of the certified copies of the priority d application from the International Bure se the attached detailed Office action for a list of th 	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		
14)	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic			
Attachm	·	,		
	otice of References Cited (PTO-892)	18) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s).		
16) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		19) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)		
17) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 20) Other:				

Application/Control Number: 09/055,201 Page 2

Art Unit: 1763

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

1. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in

a prior Office action.

2. Claims 1, 2, 6, 9, and 31 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over

Randall S. Mundt (U.S.Pat. 5,137,701) in view of Uhm (U.S.Pat. 5,468,356). Randall S. Mundt

describes an apparatus and method for eliminating unwanted materials from a gas flow line (title).

The apparatus of which can be used to treat effluent gas from semiconductor processes (column 1,

lines 22-24; column 2 lines 66-68; column 3, lines 1-2; column 4, lines 64-68). Specifically, Randall

S. Mundt describes a process chamber (12; column 2, lines 64-68) for processing a substrate (column

2, lines 64-68; column 8, lines 40-45) in a process gas and reducing emissions of hazardous gas to

the environment (abstract). Randall S. Mundt additionally describes the process chamber (column

8, lines 40-45) where for processing a substrate on a substrate support and a gas distributer capable

of introducing process gas into the process chamber (column 2, lines 64-68; column 8, lines 40-45).

Additionally, Randall S. Mundt teaches a gas activator (column 2, lines 64-68; column 8, lines 40-

45). Randall S. Mundt also teaches a reagent gas mixer capable of mixing a reagent gas with the

effluent (column 3, lines 3-18).

Randall S. Mundt also describes an exhaust tube (18) through which the effluent may be flowed. The

exhaust tube having an internal flow surface (82) substantially free of projections or recesses that

alter the flow direction of the effluent through the exhaust tube.

Randall S. Mundt also describes a distributer plate (74 Fig.3) having holes (column 8, lines 1-11)

Page 3

adapted to direct effluent preferably along the internal flow surface of the exhaust tube.

Randall S. Mundt also describes a microwave energy applicator (column 3, line 49 - column 4, line

11) to couple microwaves to the effluent flow through the exhaust tube to reduce the hazardous gas

content of the effluent (column 2, lines 64-68; column 8, lines 40-45).

Randall S. Mundt also teaches an exhaust tube with a length sufficiently long to reduce the

hazardous gas content of a continuous stream of effluent flowing through the exhaust tube without

recirculating the effluent (column 6, lines 23-27).

However, Randall S. Mundt does not teach an exhaust tube that is adapted to provide "a non-

circuitous and non-turbulent flow of effluent therethrough" and this exhaust tube being substantially

absent of projections or recesses "that cause turbulence in the flow of the effluent through the

exhaust tube".

Uhm teaches microwave flue gas treatment as substantially claimed (column 2, lines 3-14).

Additionally, Uhm teaches an exhaust tube (22, Figure 2) that is adapted to provide "a non-circuitous

and non-turbulent flow of effluent therethrough" (column 2, lines 15-31). Uhm is silent with respect

to the exhaust tube being substantially absent of projections or recesses "that cause turbulence in the

flow of the effluent through the exhaust tube".

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to

implement the Uhm exhaust tube adapted to provide "a non-circuitous flow of effluent

therethrough".

Motivation for implementing the Uhm exhaust tube adapted to provide "a non-circuitous flow of

effluent therethrough" in place of the Randall S. Mundt exhaust tube (18) is drawn to the benefits

of the Uhm apparatus over that of the prior art including better uniformity of operation, better

control, improved energy efficiency, and more compactness and simplicity (column 1, lines 20-30).

Claims 3, 8, 32 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Randall S. 3.

Mundt (U.S.Pat. 5,137,701) in view of Uhm (U.S.Pat. 5,468,356) as applied to claims 1, 2, 6, 9, and

31 above, and further in view of Kin-Chung Chiu (U.S. Pat. 4,735,633). Randall S. Mundt does not

make specific reference to a residence time in the processing reactor of the gas to be treated.

Additionally, Randall S. Mundt does not make specific reference to an RF energy applicator coupling

RF energy to the exhaust tube.

Chiu discloses an exhaust system apparatus, plasma extraction reactor (lines 66-68, column 2), for

treating effluent gas streams from plasma processes (Figures 1-6). Chiu specifically applies the

plasma extraction reactor to remove vapor phase environmental contaminants from effluent gas

streams generated by semiconductor processing equipment generating plasma states (line 61-68,

column 2). Chiu also discloses the location of his plasma extraction reactor relative to a CVD

process (lines 1-18, column 6).

Chiu's exhaust system apparatus also make use of an RF energy applicator coupling RF energy to

the exhaust tube (column 6, lines 59-63).

According to the following demonstration, the requirement that the flow path be of sufficient length

to provide an effluent gas residence time of at least 0.01s in the exhaust plasma extraction reactor

stipulated in claim 3 is implicitly satisfied under the teachings of Chiu¹.

A person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made would have found it obvious

to modify the Randall S. Mundt baffle geometry by altering its relative dimensions to resemble the

Kin-Chung Chiu baffle system and, thus, as was demonstrated in the Examiner's calculations sheet

(provided as an attachment to the first Office Action) provide residence time of the effluent flowing

through the exhaust tube that is at least about 0.01 seconds.

Motivation for altering the geometry of the Randall S. Mundt effluent gas reactor according to the

Kin-Chung Chiu design parameters is for allowing sufficient time for the contaminants to react

(column 3, lines 24-45).

¹Refer to the Examiner's calculation sheet.

A person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made would have found it obvious

to add the Chiu RF energy applicator coupling RF energy to the exhaust tube (column 6, lines 59-63)

to the Randall S. Mundt effluent treatment device as motivated by Chiu's removal efficiencies

(column 9, lines 14-28).

4. Claims 4 and 5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Randall S.

Mundt (U.S.Pat. 5,137,701) in view of Uhm (U.S.Pat. 5,468,356), as applied to claims 1, 2, 6, 9, and

31 above, and further in view of Kin-Chung Chiu (U.S. Pat. 4,735,633). Randall S. Mundt does not

make specific reference to the flow regime (turbulent or laminar) in the processing reactor of the gas

to be treated.

Variations on contact area of the reacting effluent are considered and integrated into the design by

altering the geometry of the flow path (lines 24-45, column 3). Among the geometric design

considerations of the internal flow chamber put forth by Chiu include a flow path length to ensure

sufficient removal of the effluent gas (lines 24-30, column 3), a high ratio of electrode area to

reactor volume (lines 11-23, column 3), electrode surface area to flow rate of gas to be optimally set

for vapor removal capacity (lines 30-37, column 3). Although Chiu does not explicitly make

reference to the flow regime, either turbulent or laminar, when passing the effluent gas through the

plasma extraction reactor. Chiu also does not explicitly make reference to the surface characteristics

of the flow path. However, because Chiu discusses variations of the internal flow chamber geometry

Page 7

as well as flow characteristics of the effluent gas in the range of values outlined in lines 8-45 column

3 Chiu is implicitly favoring laminar, unhindered, flow of the effluent gas through his plasma

extraction reactor. Any author describing internal fluid flow, such as Chiu, would consider that the

direction of fluid flow (velocity vector), substantially distant from the boundary layer, and the

tangent to the surface of the encasement are an implicitly parallel. Chiu does point out that in order

to reduce the size of his plasma extraction reactor, the processing pipe can be convoluted (lines 57-

62, column 4) as apposed to the larger processing space required for a linear plasma processing

apparatus. Projections or recesses, beyond boundary layer variability, are also implicitly taught by

Chiu under the observation that the geometric design considerations of the internal flow chamber

and flow rates for sufficient removal put forth by Chiu (lines 11-37, column 3) would have to be

reinvestigated/recalculated if projections or recesses were present in Chiu's plasma extraction reactor.

Figures 1-6 also support flow surfaces absent of projections and or recesses.

A person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made would have found it obvious

to modify the Randall S. Mundt baffle geometry by altering its relative dimensions to resemble the

Kin-Chung Chiu baffle system and thus provide for laminar flow in the processing tube. Motivation

is provided by Randall S. Mundt's discussion of flow rate ratio to electrode area (column 3, lines 30-

45).

Application/Control Number: 09/055,201 Page 8

Art Unit: 1763

Allowable Subject Matter

5. Claims 7, and 37-39 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would

be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and

any intervening claims.

6. Claims 10, 11, 14, 15, 24, 26-30, 33-36, 40-73, and 75-78 are allowed.

7. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter:

Independent claims 10, 11, 26, and 28 are allowed because none of the cited references provide the

claimed conditions of operation of the microwave energy applicator coupling microwaves to the

effluent and thereby energizing the exhaust gases in the exhaust tube as provided by the computer

controller.

Independent claim 24 is allowed because the amended claim distinguishes from the closest related

art, by Randall S. Mundt (U.S.Pat. 5,137,701), in the following point:

i. The exhaust tube (22, 24, 18, 30; Figure 1) through which the effluent may be flowed is not

described as being fabricated from monocrystalline sapphire

Application/Control Number: 09/055,201 Page 9

Art Unit: 1763

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed March 20, 2002 with respect to claims 1-6, 8, 9, 31, and 32 have 8.

been considered but are not persuasive.

9. With regards to Uhm's metal fragments (25, Figure 2) as teaching "a chamber having

projections", it is understood from the Uhm patent that the metal fragments do not constitute the

"exhaust tube" that must be "substantially absent projections or recesses". Uhm's exhaust tube

(housing cavity 24, Figure 2) is, as shown, "substantially absent projections or recesses" and is not

made up from the metal fragments that are optionally installed as per the Uhm discussion (column

3, lines 23-25). Further, Uhm readily establishes the "threshold field" power requirement of

"P ≈ 8kW" (column 3, lines 18-22) for dielectric breakdown in the absence of materials placed in the

chamber.

Application/Control Number: 09/055,201 Page 10

Art Unit: 1763

Conclusion

10. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy

as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire **THREE MONTHS**

from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the

mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the

THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the

date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be

calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory

period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

11. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner

should be directed to Examiner Rudy Zervigon whose telephone number is (703) 305-1351. The

examiner can normally be reached on a Monday through Thursday schedule from 8am through 7pm.

The official after final fax phone number for the 1763 art unit is (703) 872-9311. The official before

final fax phone number for the 1763 art unit is (703) 872-9310. Any Inquiry of a general nature or

relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Chemical and

Materials Engineering art unit receptionist at (703) 308-0661. If the examiner can not be reached

please contact the examiner's supervisor, Gregory L. Mills, at (703) 308-1633.

GREGORY MILLS SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1700